HOUSE UNIT VOTES **DEFENSE OUTLAY** OF \$70.3-BILLION

\$20-Billion Included for War. but a Rise Is Predicted— Delay Asked on Reserve

By EDWIN L. DALE Jr. Special to The New York Times

WASHINGTON, June 9 -The House Appropriations Committee approved today a record lowing: \$70.3-billion defense appropriations bill, including \$20.3-billion attributable to the war in Vietnam.

In a related action, the committee wrote into its report on the bill a directive to the De-Army's Reserve and National bill. Guard forces announced by the

The committee said the reorganization, which would eliminate 15 low-priority National Guard divisions, should await employment—an item that will approval by Congress.

Although the money bill was large, the committee said in its if it stands. The biggest single report that more funds would probably be required for the war in the fiscal year 1968, tra funds were also provided to which starts July 1. Members stressed, however, that any shortfall would be far less than last year's \$10-billion error, barring a major change in the dimensions of the war.

Spending Excess Foreseen

The committee chairman, George Mahon, Democrat of, Texas, said he thought actualf defense spending in the newfiscal year would "likely" ex-5 ceed the budget estimate of \$73.1-billion. But he said he would not even guess the amount. The basic budget estimating this year, he said, was ! "entirely different" from last ly requested, they will of course year's and much more "realistic" be given a high priority."

A member of the Federal Reserve Board, Andrew F. Brimserve Board, Andrew F. Brim-gress, though the amount is mer, also said today that the little different from the comestimate of defense spending bined total of the regular and supplemental defense bills for Mr. Brimmer gave his view in

Minn

The appropriations bill does not directly govern the level of actual spending in the new discal year, part of which will reflect past appropriations. Appropriations give the Defense Department authority to spend but the timing varies with different programs and Defense Department decisions.

In its action on the money bill, the committee made numerous changes in the Administration's request, both up and down. The net reduction was a reduction of \$1,288,000,000, though this reduction is unlikely to have a major effect on actual spending in the new fiscal year.

Major Cuts Listed

The major cuts were the fol-

¶\$301-million for proposed fast deployment logistic ships, a new type of freighter, which had previously been stricken from the defense procurement authorization bill.

¶\$167-million for new nonfense Department to defer the nuclear destroyers, which were major reorganization of the also cut from the authorization

¶\$251-million in cancellation Defense Department last week. of past appropriations that had never been spent or obligated.

¶\$136-million in proposed Defense Department civilian quickly affect actual spending

increase was the provision of \$115-million for a nuclear-powered, guided missile frigate. Exmaintain present strength B-52 bombers and for added procurement of several types of aircraft, such as the C-130 transport.

On the prospect of added expenditures because of the war, the committee said in its report:

"The committee is of the opinion that funds over and beopinion that rungs over and beyond those carried over from previous years, and those included in the pending bill, will probably be required for fiscal year 1968. The tempo and cost of the war in Southeast Asia are on an upward trend. The costs of wars can never be projected precisely. If addijected precisely. . . . If additional amounts are subsequent-

The appropriations bill is the largest ever considered by Con-

In World War II, when the defense appropriations higher than in this bill, appropriations and Navy funds were considered separately.

On the issue of Reserve officers, the committee did two things.

First. it included language in the bill requiring that Army Reserve forces be maintained at a level of not less than 260,-000 men and the Army National Guard at not less than 400,000. The Pentagon's recently announced plan would have the same number in the Guard but 20,000 fewer in the Army Re-

Second, it included language in the accompanying report directing the Defense Depart-

ment to hold up the entir reorganization plan until Cor gress had a chance to approv

The essence of the plan wa reorganization of existir a reorganization of existir units, rather than a reduction numbers or a merger of the Guard and the Reserve, as his been proposed earlier. The Defense Department thought was yielding to Congression wishes, but still the committed disapproved. disapproved.

a graduation ad Approved of or Release 2004/03/11: CIA-RDP69B00369R000100180199-7 head State College, Moorhead,